

Core Strategy Development Plan Document Proposed Main Modifications – November 2015 Representation Form

For Office Use only:	
Date	
Ref	

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - *Please use a separate sheet for each representation.* (Additional Part B forms can be downloaded from the web page)

4. To which proposed main modification does this representation relate?

Proposed Main Modification number:

MM38, MM40, MM42, MM72, MM79

5. Do support or object the proposed main modification?

Support

Object

6. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'legally compliant'?

Yes

No

7. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'sound'?

Yes

No – 'unsound'

8. If you consider the proposed main modification to be 'unsound', please identify which test of soundness your comments relate to?

Positively prepared

Justified

Effective

Consistent with National Planning Policy (the NPPF)

9. Please give details of why you consider the proposed main modification is not legally compliant or is unsound in light of the main modifications proposed. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the proposed main modification please use this box to set out your comments.

(Please note: Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the representation and the suggested change. It is important that your representation relates to a proposed main modification).

Taking these modifications as a whole. The modifications are not justified as there are large reductions in many areas for home building but not so in South East Bradford, Tong Valley and the Holmewood urban extension. The areas mentioned in the modifications above are having the number of houses reduced, when clearly land has been identified and several of them are not green belt land. Whereas the Greenbelt land in Tong is being built on in order to accommodate these reductions. This is not fair, Tong valley is being asked to pay a disproportionately high price for giving to the City of Bradford far more than any other area, this is not fair.

It is not effective as there is not cross boundary agreement for this plan, Stuart Andrew MP for Pudsey has long been a supporter of protecting Green Belt land saying "We need to preserve these natural

boundaries and our treasured landscape, which creates the unique identity of this area."

10. Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modifications legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q7 above.

You need to say why this change will make the proposed main modification legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

I suggest that the original higher allocation of housing to places like Shipley, N.E Bradford, Baildon be kept and the reductions are scrapped. And that the increases proposed for Burley, Menston & Ilkely are further increased. That way that number of houses needed from the Tong Valley can be reduced to a proportionate figure (maybe a total of 1,200).

11. Signature:

Thomas

Date:

19.1.16

Thank you for taking the time to complete this Representation Form.